



Shaping Tomorrow's
Built Environment Today

1791 Tullie Circle NE ▪ Atlanta, Georgia 30329-2305 ▪ Tel 678.539.1211 ▪ Fax 678.539.2211 ▪ <http://www.ashrae.org>

Michael R. Vaughn, P.E.
Manager
Research & Technical Services

mvaughn@ashrae.org

TO: Paula Levasseur, Chair TC 2.3, paulajlevasseur@gmail.com
Kathleen Owen, Research Subcommittee Chair TC 2.3, kathleenowen@att.net
Pawel Wargocki, Research Liaison 2.0, paw@byg.dtu.dk

FROM: Michael Vaughn, MORTS, mvaughn@ashrae.org

DATE: November 1, 2018

SUBJECT: Research Topic Acceptance Request (1858-RTAR), "Evaluation of HVAC ventilation effectiveness in reducing semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in indoor spaces"

During their fall meeting, the Research Administration Committee (RAC) reviewed the subject Research Topic Acceptance Request (RTAR) and voted 5-0-0 to reject it. The following list summarizes the consensus review comments and questions on this RTAR:

1. Define better the objectives of the research project.
2. Consider splitting the project so that the objectives are more aligned, the duration is shorter and the budget is lower.
3. The RTAR should state how much percentage of human intake of SVOC through respiration, and also should state how much percentage of fine particles other than gaseous SVOC.
4. The objectives should be in some way be "measurable" and more specific.

By rejecting this RTAR, RAC is strongly suggesting to the TC that this particular topic be dropped from the TC research plan based on the information that has been provided.

An RTAR evaluation sheet is attached as additional information and it provides a breakdown of comments and questions from individual RAC members based on specific review criteria. This should give you an idea of how your RTAR is being interpreted and understood by others.

If the TC wishes to pursue this topic further, please incorporate the above information into the RTAR with the help of your Research Liaison, Pawel Wargocki, RL2@ashrae.net, prior to submitting it to the Manager of Research and Technical Services for further consideration by RAC. In addition, a separate document providing a point by point response to each of these comments and questions must be submitted with the RTAR. The response to each item should explain how the RTAR has been revised to address the comment, or a justification for why the Technical Committee feels a revision is unnecessary or inappropriate. The RTAR and response to these comments and questions must be approved by the Research Liaison prior to submitting it to RAC.

The next realistic submission deadline for RTARs and WSs is May 15, 2019 for consideration at the Society's 2019 annual meeting. The submission deadline after that is August 15, 2019 for the RAC fall meeting.

Project ID	1858	
Project Title	Evaluation of HVAC ventilation effectiveness in reducing semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in indoor spaces	
Sponsoring TC	TC 2.3, Gaseous Air Contaminants and Gas Contaminant Removal Equipment	
Cost / Duration	\$250,000 / 30 Months	
Submission History	1st Submission	
Classification: Research or Technology Transfer	Basic/Applied Research	
RAC 2018 Fall Meeting Review		
Essential Criteria	Voted NO	Comments & Suggestions
Background: The RTAR should describe current state of the art with some level of literature review that documents the importance/magnitude of a problem. References should be provided. If not, then note it in your comments.		2 - Gaseous SVOC is easy to be adsorbed at solid surface. It is well known that the human intake of SVOC is not by gaseous matter but by SVOC adsorbed fine particles or through the skin. The RTAR does not mention this important features which may be important to access the total SVOC intake. 4 - Too little on the methods to control SVOCs and reduce their levels indoors and the previous research on the effectiveness of ventilation in reducing SVOC levels. 9 - Well-explained, problem and its magnitude, and with references cited. 10 - Not all statements in the background are supported by references (e.g. health effects of SVOCs). 12 - I don't think internal building temperatures are going to change in response to global warming. People will maintain the same thermal comfort.
Research Need: Based on the background provided is the need for additional research clearly identified? If not, then the RTAR should be rejected.		9 - Highlights that different types of pollutants concentrations are removed with varying effectiveness by ventilation, hence situation is unclear and needs research. 10 - Specify whether the different behavior between VOCs and SVOCs was ascertained by repeatable experiments. 12 - This seems like a sophomore thermo conservation of mass problem-> inflow, accumulation, and outflow species balance. 8 - is there other references for SVOC and ventilation rates in the research?
Relevance and Benefits to ASHRAE: Evaluate whether relevance and benefits are clearly explained in terms of: a. Leading to innovations in the field of HVAC & Refrigeration b. Valuable addition to the missing information which will lead to new design guidelines and valuable modifications to handbooks and standards. Is this research topic appropriate for ASHRAE funding? If not, Reject.		9 - Very relevant to ASHRAE via provision and maintenance of good indoor air quality. Can affect ventilation, filtration and air distribution aspects. Wouldn't Std 62 benefit as well? This is not mentioned. 8 - This is an expensive and very challenging project that may require further refinement of the relevance and benefits.
IF ABOVE THREE CRITERION ARE NOT <u>ALL</u> SATISFIED - MARK "REJECT" BELOW & CONTINUE REVIEW BELOW		
Other Criteria	Voted NO	Comments & Suggestions
Project Objectives: Based on the background and need, evaluate whether the project objectives are: 1. Aligned with the need 2. Specific 3. Clear without ambiguity 4. Achievable If not, then appropriate feedback should be provided.		4 - In case experiments show that that ventilation is not effective there will be no need to develop models, 9 - Clear and achievable...chamber and modelling. 10 - Not clear the benefit of objectives 1) and 2) in the same project. The objectives should be in some way "measurable" and more specific. Objective 4) should be revised to indicate clearly what the following sentence means: "evaluate the important factors and ventilation strategies which affect the concentration and dynamics of SVOCs in indoor environments". What is the result of such evaluation?
Expected Approach and Budget: Is there an adequate description of the approach in order for RAC to be able to evaluate the appropriateness of the budget? If not, then the RTAR should be returned for revision. Anticipated funding level and duration:		4 - Too expensive. 7 - The budget seems high for the benefit. Perhaps the project should be scaled down to include only a few of the more important SVOCs. The project could then be expanded to include other SVOCs. 9- Clear sensible and achievable. The budget is \$250k, which is a little high, with \$100k for chamber work. Does this cover purchase or construction of chambers, or will these need to be in place already? 10 - The duration of the project is rather long (30 months) and the budget is justified by the time each objective will take. The project could be probably split so that the duration of each separate project could be shorter and the budget more manageable.
References: Are the references provided?		10 - Some more references are needed. They should elucidate the health effects of SVOCs and demonstrate the high concentrations of SVOCs present in indoor environments.
Decision Options	Initial Decision?	Final Approval Conditions
ACCEPT AS-IS		2- The RTAR should state how much percentage of human intake of SVOC through respiration. And also should state how much percentage of fine particles other than gaseous SVOC. 4 -The RTAR should be split into 2 parts. The current version should focus on experiments in chambers and rooms. 7 - The budget seems high for the benefit. Perhaps the project should be scaled down to include only a few of the more important SVOCs. The project could then be expanded to include other SVOCs. We need a full explanation for the negative votes on SSPC 62.2. 9 - Good project, just clarify the budget in more detail...refer to comments above.
ACCEPT W/COMMENTS		10- Define better the objectives of the research project. Consider splitting the project so that the objectives are more aligned, the duration is shorter and the budget is lower. Include references to support some of the statements in the proposal. 12- RTAR1868 from SPC62.2 i probably has a better chance of success. Suggest TC 2.3 join that effort. 8 - I like the project but need further refinements.
REJECT		

ACCEPT Vote - Topic is ready for development into a work statement (WS).

ACCEPT W/COMMENTS Vote - Minor Revision Required - RL can approve RTAR for development into WS without going back to RAC once TC satisfies RAC's approval condition(s)

REJECT Vote - Topic is not acceptable for the ASHRAE Research Program

DRAFT RTAR Template

Title: _____

Summary

Describe in summary form the proposed research topic, including what is proposed, why this research is important, how it will be conducted, and why ASHRAE should fund it (50 words maximum)

Background

Provide the state of the art with key references (at the end of this document) substantiating it (300 words maximum)

Research Need

Use the state of the art described above as a basis to specify the need for the proposed effort (250 words maximum)

Project Objectives

Based on the identified research need(s), specify the objectives of the solicited effort that will address all or part of these needs (150 words maximum)

Expected Approach

Describe in a manner that may be used for assessment of project viability, cost, and duration, the approach that is expected to achieve the proposed objectives (200 words maximum).

Check all that apply: Lab testing (), Computations (), Surveys (), Field tests (), Analyses and modeling (), Validation efforts (), Other (specify) ()

Relevance and Benefits to ASHRAE

Describe why this effort is of specific interest to ASHRAE, its impact, and how it will benefit ASHRAE and the society. How does it align with ASHRAE Strategic Plans and Initiatives? How does it advance the state of the art in this area in general? Are there other stakeholders that should be approached to obtain relevant information or co-funding? (350 words maximum)

Anticipated Funding Level and Duration

Funding Amount Range: \$ _____

Duration in Months: _____

References

List the key references cited in this RTAR